



"Grassmeier, Kathleen F." <grassmeier@nv.doe.gov> on 01/07/2000 10:43:49 AM

To: "Brad_Mettam@dot.ca.gov" <Brad_Mettam@dot.ca.gov>
cc: "Pyles, Gary" <pyles@nv.doe.gov>, "Disanza, E. Frank" <disanza@nv.doe.gov>
Subject: SR 127 Low-Level Shipments

Good morning Brad. Got your advisory text and appreciate your sharing it with us. I have a few comments that you can use or not use as you see fit.

> Paragraph 1. You state that "... DOE began using... a route.. " The sentence would be more correct if it stated DOE carriers began using...

> Paragraph 2. Your numbers of shipments is high. I looked at all the figures for the current Fiscal Year (from 1 Oct 99 till now) and these figures haven't been reached. I think to be safe you could change to an average of 12 shipments per week..

As an aside, are you aware that the waste disposal site (Area 5 only) was/is going to be closed in Nov 99, Jan and May 2000, due to some internal budget conditions as well as improved personnel management. Also the overall estimated shipments from all generators is about 100,000 cubic feet less than last year.

> Paragraph 4. Shipments will only be placarded if contamination warrants placarding IAW 49 CFR requirements. Saying all shipments will be placarded is not necessarily true.

Guess we both are busy. Have a great 2000 and beyond.

Kathleen F. Grassmeier